HON'BLE SHRI JUSTICE NOOTY RAMAMOHANA RAO

W.P. No.15564 of 1998

22.6.2007

Between

P.Mohan Reddy ..Petitioner

AND

Jawaharlal Nehru Technological University ..Respondent represented by its Registrar

:: JUDGMENT ::

HON'BLE SHRI JUSTICE NOOTY RAMAMOHANA RAO

–

W.P. No.15564 of 1998

The writ petitioner was earlier working with the respondent University as a Programmer in its Computer Center. Pursuant to the implementation of the U.G.C. pattern of scales of pay, there was also a change brought about in the designations. Former Programmers came to be re-designated as Systems Programmers. Their scale of pay from Rs.700/- to Rs.1,300/- has been revised to Rs.2,200/- to Rs.4,000/-. But however, the respondent University through its proceedings No.D1/1775/83-90 dated 19.12.1990 while approving the revision of pay scales, stated as follows:

> "The existing personnel working in the Computer Center have been recruited by the Selection Committee of the University as per the qualifications prescribed prior to the receipt of the revised guidelines, they will also be entitled for the revised UGC Scales of pay, 1986 and they are also governed by the revised designations given under Column (4) above.
>
> The question of payment of arrears from 1.1.1986 to 31.3.1990 will be decided after approval by the U.G.C. and State Government".

Sri K.Rathanga Pani Reddy, learned Standing Counsel for the respondent University makes a statement on behalf of the University that the issue relating to extending the benefits of revision of pay scales from 1.1.1986 to 31.3.1990 has not yet been finalized and it is still pending consideration. But however, the revised scales of pay have been given effect from 1.4.1990 and all eligible teaching and non

teaching staff have been paid their arrears of salary on that account. Sri Rathanga Pani Reddy, learned Standing Counsel also makes a statement that since the University has accepted the resignation of the writ petitioner with effect from 23.3.1993, the University has calculated the arrears payable to the writ petitioner for the period from 1.4.1990 upto 23.3.1993 and deposited the same in his bank account to which they were earlier depositing his salary and allowances. Therefore, the grievance of the writ petitioner to the extent that he had not been extended the benefit of revised U.G.C. pay scales is not subsisting. The learned Standing Counsel also states that as and when the issue relating to payment of arrears with effect from 1.1.1986 is decided, along with other teaching and non teaching staff, the writ petitioner will also be paid the arrears for the period upto 31.3.1990.

Recording the said undertaking of the learned Standing Counsel of the University, this writ petition is disposed of, but however, without costs.

_____

NOOTY RAMAMOHANA RAO.J.

22.6.2007
psr